

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 36569

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 431
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: April 15, 2010
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
THOMAS QUEST III,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. David C. Nye, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified life sentence, with a minimum period of confinement of twenty-five years, for robbery, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Thomas Quest III pled guilty to robbery. I.C. §§ 18-6501, 18-6502. In exchange for his guilty plea, four additional charges including an allegation that Quest was a persistent violator, were dismissed. The district court sentenced Quest to a unified life term, with a minimum period of confinement of twenty-five years. Quest filed an I.C.R. 35 motion for reduction of his sentence, which the district court denied. Quest appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Quest's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.